



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/520,820	04/28/2005	Sonia Escaich	1721-82	2788
23117 75	12/28/2005		EXAM	INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			ZEMAN, ROBERT A	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		OK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/520,820	ESCAICH, SONIA		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Robert A. Zeman	1645		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MO	NTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.		
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ja	anuary 2005.			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 20 is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.			
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		y the Examiner.		
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s	) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Ap	plication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	eceived.		
Attachmer	• •	4) 🗆 Intension Su	mmary (PTO-413)		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date		
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· <b>—</b>	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u>.</u>		

Application/Control Number: 10/520,820

Art Unit: 1645

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Claim 20 is removed from consideration as it constitutes a non-statutory "use" claim.

Hence only claims 1-19 are included in the following Restriction requirement.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-2, drawn to methods for identifying and selecting a gene required fro the proliferation in vivo of a pathogenic microorganism.

Group II, claim(s) 3-4, drawn to mutant nucleic acids.

Group III, claim(s) 5-10 and 13, drawn to pathogenicity or virulence targets encoded by nucleic acids corresponding to the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO:X.

Group IV, claim(s) 11-12, drawn to vectors and host cells.

Group V, claim(s) 14-15, drawn to isolated peptides with a sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO:X.

Group VI, claim(s) 16, drawn to antibodies that bind to peptides with a sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO:X.

Group VII, claim(s) 17, drawn to methods for inhibiting *in vitro* the proliferation of a pathogenic microorganism.

Group VIII, claim(s) 18, drawn to method for screening compounds capable of inhibiting the expression of peptides with the sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO:X.

Group IX, claim(s) 19, drawn to method for screening compounds capable of inhibiting the biochemical and/or enzyme activity of peptides with the sequence corresponding to SEQ ID NO:X.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,820

Art Unit: 1645

It should be noted that Groups III, V-VI and VIII-IX recite multiple sequences that constitute multiple patentably distinct entities. If any one of group III, V-VI and VIII-IX is elected, Applicant is required to select a single SEQ ID NO to be examined. This is not to be construed as a species election.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IX do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.475(d), the ISA/US considers that where multiple products and processes are claimed, the main invention shall consist of the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto. Accordingly, the main invention (Group 1) comprises the first recited **product**, nucleic acid sequences that regulates expression of polypeptides involved in autolytic processes in bacteria, vectors containing said nucleic acid sequences and host cells comprising said vectors. Further pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.475(d), the ISA/US considers that any feature which the subsequently recited products and methods share with the main invention does not constitute a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT rule 13.2 and that each of such products and methods accordingly defines a separate invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully

Art Unit: 1645

examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so** may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues.

See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0866.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,820

Art Unit: 1645

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

ROBERT A. ZEMAN PATENT EXAMINER

December 19, 2005